P. Y 61-98

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1897-98
~~191~~ .

(& R)

File No. 89-97

Serial Nos. 1-5

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-5

in ~~Part~~ B for Feb 1899

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Chickguruva reddy regarding certain survey numbers in the Hundi village — Bangalore Taluk.

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 23-1-19

The ground urged on account of the priority of the petitioner's application to the Amildar does not appear to be valid.

Rule xx which recognises the priority in applications was made under section 53 of the LR Code and applies only to applications which an Amildar is authorised to receive under Sec 53. The lands in this case were applied for under Sec 112 of LR Code and this rule mentions Deputy Commissioners as the officers authorized to receive such applications. This view receives support from the corresponding standing order no 6 of the Board of Revenue which mentions the Divisional officer who should be communicated with and whose sanction should be obtained. In this case, the petitioner applied to the Dy Commr two days after applied to the Taluk.

The extent and assessment of S.no 58, 59 & 103 are

S.no	area	Assessment
	a-g	
58	3-17	6-00
59	1-12	4-00
163	1-24	9-00

The village map is in the Records

Continued in next Page

Bangalore D Com[r]'s no 973
d 27/1/98.

Sno 103 is classed as bagayet & hence the heavy assessment.

Sno 58 is said to contain 2 mulberry working hedge plants; Sno 59 1 mulberry hedge plant & 7 tali plants + a good well, & Sno 103 contains 9 cs working hedge & tamarind plants (Annexure as per general no 3) The lands are said to have been waste from the beginning & between the pet[r] & c-pet[r].

c-pet[r] appears better terms than pet[r] in that he agreed to pay the assessment of Snos 58 & 59; the Kandayam of Sno 103 being remitted. While pet[r] wanted all the 3 Snos free of Cess. But the rules require that "the Government for wgs shall be given exemption from demand" (Para 4 of Appendix X G). Probably neither of the two has any clear idea of the subject.

Sd b H[r] Commissioner
27/2/98

No recommendation has as yet been received regarding the free grant of Sno 59? What reason the present holder gives now? & has he any recommendation to send? Has the C. Sec[r] been applied any? The Sec[r] was not the Taluk as the right to trees & the value thereof considered at the time of the grant. These points require further elucidation

22.2.98

No 23[rd]

The first point about the application for free grant of Sno 59 free of tax - has to be ascertained from the Rev Branch. To Rev Branch

24/2/98

Rd 26/2/98. Cond from the registers it appears that no recommendation has as yet been received in the Sec[r]. No 913

Rd 28.2.98.

Office - note

Pet^{n} no 581 of 1897-98
A.S. 81

Petitioner - Chukka Gurunna Reddi
Kudi Vellaja
Bangalore Taluk

Subject - Grant of S nos 58, 59 & 103 for planting topes

The D^{y} Comm^{r} of Bangalore has granted^{x} S nos 58, 59 & 103 & the C-pet^{r} Hanuma Reddi for planting topes thereon, S no 59 to be held free of assessment & the rest to be held on the usual assessment. The pet^{r} has appealed against this order on the ground that his application for these S nos ~~2 days before the expiry~~ should have been preferred prior The petition having been sent for report in the usual course

x no	area	assessment Rs-a-p
58	3-17	6-8-4
59	1-12-	4-8-11
103 (Bayajek)	1-20	9-11-11
	6-7	20-4-2

S no 58 contains 2 rupees' worth of large plants, S no 59 contains 1 rupee worth of Yadi plants & a Sandal worth & S no 103 contains Gas worth of large & Tamarind plants

the Dy comm^r reported that
Hamma Reddi applied to the
Dy comm^r in his camp at
Vadlur on 6/5/97 while the
pet^r applied to the Tahsildar
authorities on 4/5/97 & that
the pet^r's application was
not brought to the notice of
the D comm^r when he
sanctioned the grant of the
Lands to Hamma Reddi
The report showed that S No 59
was granted to Hamma
Reddi on the understanding
that Sort Sanction was to
be obtained for holding it
free of assessment, the other
S Nos being held on the usual
conditions
On this report the 2nd Commiss^r
passed the following order:
" No recommendation has
as yet been received regarding

the prepraut of S No 59, I
suppose. What is the present
D Comm's view? & has he
any recommendation
to send? Has the C-pel-z
begun to plant any trees?
Was not the wealth or the
right to trees & the value
thereof considered at the
time of grant? These
points require further
elucidation."

It having been ascertained
from the Rev Brandt that
no recommendation on the
subject had been received
the D Comm^r was
addressed on the points
noted above.

The D Comm^r states that in
his opinion the Land Boards

have been sold by public
Auction, that Ramanna Reddi,
has not begun to plant any
type as yet; & that the value
of the trees in S No. 59 has
to be recovered from the
grantee & that he does
not know under what
rule his predecessor procured
the grant of S No. 59 free of
assessment.

As regards the question of
priority urged by the petr,
the objection does not appear
valid.

Rule XX of the LR Rules which
recognises priority in application
under Sec 58 of the LR Code does
not apply to this case. What was
dispensed of by the Dy Comm under
Rule XXVIII of the LR Rules
framed under Sec 172 of the Code.

Though the present D Comm^r is unable to quote the authority for proposing to grant (no 5-9 free of assessment - & though his predecessor's order does not quote the authority, it seems clear that the grant can be justified under para 4 of Appendix G of the L R Rules

" The ~~Sch of~~ ground required for tops shall be given exempted from demand

But it is also clear that the D Comm^r who made the grant had not any clear conception of the provisions under which he was acting

If the top is to be a public

Charitable Trust, the Franchise
is also entitled to a Joint
Inquiry directed 27 Oct. This
point does not seem to
have been considered by
the Dy Comm[r].
If the Trust is to be a private
one, it is not clear how
the Petitioners
Reddi can obtain any
concession - such as the
grant of the land without sale
& without assessment. Please see Ms No. 59.

* The Franchise subject to paras 9 & 11 of Appendix G.

To add to this, the present
Dy Comm[r] does not profess to
understand the basis of his
predecessor's action
= Under these circumstances, the
grant will have to be cancelled,
& the lands sold by public
auction. If the Lands are wanted
as public Trust, the Dy Comm[r] may
take action under Rule XXVIII
& Appendix G of LR Rules

11/5/45

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 81 of 1897-98

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Chikka Gurumurthi of Hundi village Bangalore Taluk		Kempanna and others

Subject:- Dispute regarding S.Nos 58 & other lands in the Hundi village in Bangalore Taluk.

{ Sec 58 & Rule XX
Sec 112 & Rule XXVIII

Posted to the 19th
Deft 6 to 8th counter
by 16/2/98

2869

In favour of the
decree appeal
has no merit
under the said
section in the affirm
ed.
2 months??

21

16/2/98

Respondent for 6th adjt
Respt not to be heard after
adjt

Labouille

(1) Issue Rs. 5 Stamp affixed Stamp affixed

(2) The case filed by Grantee: In time

9/14-7-97. MK

4/9/97

(3) The DC Comr's order Contempt no definite information. It does not mention the DC Comr's discretion whether the DC Comr's to grant a copy of the amildar's report. (It was sent to DC Comr)

(4) Not a Criminal case (the question relating to the grant of land on darkhast)

Sub'd to 2nd Councillor

Rob. to Pen. 7-9-97

M 7/9/97

Call for report with record

Issued 12.9.97

M 12/9/97

for M 14/9/97

Replies

14/9/97

(1)

1897

No. 581 / 9598

Name of Petitioner Chikka Gurava Kedi

Village Hadigana

Taluk Bangalore

District Do.

Date of Arzi 20-5-97

Date of Receipt 24-5-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure One

ABSTRACT.

The petitioner of Yenkatagaanal in Bangalore District Deputy Comm order No. - dated 14-7-97 requesting Survey Nos 53-57-103 of the Hadigana village.

The order prayed against is in English.

The petitioner states as follows The lands S. Nos 56-57 and 103 of the village are Gova waste. There is a well worth 400 Rupees in S. No 56. He repaired the well at a cost of Rupees 300/ for irrigation purpose. On 4-5-97 he submitted a petition to the Amildar stating that he wanted plant a topes of fruit trees on the said three numbers for public use, and praying that the lands may be given to him. The petition was referred to the Amildar who submitted a detailed statement on 20/5/97.

~~He also submitted~~

On 15-6-97 he submitted a petition to the Deputy Commr. No orders have yet been passed on his petition.

On 6-5-97 one Hammareddi of the village applied to the Deputy Commr for the grant of ~~the said~~ three Cands to him. The Deputy Commr issued order dated 14/7/97 granting the Cands to the said Hammareddi.

The petitioner is ready to pay Rs 200/ for the Cands together with the fees on Rs 55. He will bid for the Cands if they are sold by public auction.

The petitioner is the first darkhastdar for the Cands. The Cands should have been granted to him.

He prays that the Depr, Commrs order may be cancelled and that the Cands be ~~either~~ either ordered to be sold by public auction or given to him together with the fees and he will pay by taking Rs 200 from him.

The Dy Comr's order* conveys ‡ "The above recommendations are approved"

No information ~~without~~ ~~ka~~

As the "recommendations" are not

Known

JM 7/4/97

Receipt Municipal Office
Dt. 24-8-97.

Rent
Water Tax
Dt. 24/8/97

Dist. Bar

Rupees 8

Dt. 24/8/97

Receipt No. 5811

Dt. 24-8-97 Rs. 16

Signature

Dt. 20/8/97

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸಹಿ/- ೨೦-೫-೨೦೨೨

ಶ್ರೀ ಪ್ರಭು ತಂದೆ ಲೇ. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಹರಿಜನ ವಾಸ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿ

ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದವರು

2

ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೫-೫-೨೨ ರಂದು ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಇಲ್ಲದ ಕಾರಣ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದವರು

3

ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೬-೫-೨೨ ರಂದು ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಇಲ್ಲದ ಕಾರಣ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದವರು

4

ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೪-೭-೨೨ ರಂದು ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಇಲ್ಲದ ಕಾರಣ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದ್ದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿಯವರೆಗೂ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಹೊಸಕೆರೆ ಹಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟ್ ಮಾಸ್ಟರ್ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪತ್ರ ಬರೆದವರು

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಂದಾಸಂ/ಕಂದಾಯ ಅದಾಲತ್/ಸಿಆರ್/ಕೆಎಂಎಫ್/೨೦೨೨-೨೩

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 14-7-2022

ಸೇವೆಗೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಬಿನ್ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಕಾಡಪ್ಪನವರ
ಸಾ: ಕಾಡಪ್ಪನವರ ಓಣಿ, ಕಾಡಪ್ಪನವರ ಓಣಿ
ತಾ: ಹಾವೇರಿ

ವಿಷಯ: ಕಂದಾಯ ಅದಾಲತ್ ಪ್ರಕರಣ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಂದಾಸಂ/ಕಂದಾಯ ಅದಾಲತ್/ಸಿಆರ್/ಕೆಎಂಎಫ್/೨೦೨೨-೨೩ ರ ಕುರಿತು.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 14-7-2022

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಕಂದಾಯ ಅದಾಲತ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಹಾವೇರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾಡಪ್ಪನವರ ಓಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಎಂಎಫ್ ಹಾಲಿನ ಡೈರಿ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಅದಾಲತ್ ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನು ದಾಖಲಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕಂದಾಯ ಅದಾಲತ್ ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ: 4-8-2022 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 11 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಹಾವೇರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿಯ ಸಭಾಂಗಣದಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸಲಾಗುವುದು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಸದರಿ ದಿನಾಂಕದಂದು ಅಗತ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಿರಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಂದಾಯ ಅದಾಲತ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಹಾವೇರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಪ್ರತಿ:
1) ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ಬಿನ್ ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ಕಾಡಪ್ಪನವರ, ಸಾ: ಕಾಡಪ್ಪನವರ ಓಣಿ, ಕಾಡಪ್ಪನವರ ಓಣಿ, ತಾ: ಹಾವೇರಿ
2) ಕಂದಾಯ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಕರು, ಹಾವೇರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಕಚೇರಿ, ಹಾವೇರಿ
3) ಗ್ರಾಮ ಆಡಳಿತಾಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಕಾಡಪ್ಪನವರ ಓಣಿ ವೃತ್ತ, ಹಾವೇರಿ
4) ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ, ಹಾವೇರಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಹಾಲು ಉತ್ಪಾದಕರ ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘ ನಿ., ಹಾವೇರಿ
5) ಕಡತ

1/8

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರು

ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಿಗರಾಗಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಕೆಲಸವು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟಕರವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಸಾಲದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಂಸಾರ ನಿರ್ವಹಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಸಾಲ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಾಲ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಹಣವನ್ನು ವಾಪಸ್ಸು ಕೊಡಲು ಒತ್ತಾಯಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಹಣ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಂದ ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಓಡಾಡುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಆಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸಾಲ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸಾಲಗಾರರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಕೊಡಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಅನುಕೂಲ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಸಹಿ

20-8-97

Pet 1432 (2)

16-9-97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 581 dated 20-8-97 received at the Dewan's Office from Nikka gowda Reddi of Hu digowna village in the Ban galore taluk, appealing agai the order of the Dy. Comr. re garding lands S.Nos 58-5 & 103 of the above village gran to one Hanuma Reddi through h pety was the first Darkastdar is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
16/9/1897

15/9/97

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

(3)

2370
Pet 490

1897–98.

From
The Dy. Commissioner of the
Bangalore District

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Revenue Department

Dated 26th January
Despatched 27th "
Received 28th "

General No.
No. 973

Enclosure No. (File
Asst Commr Ramnad Dist
Pet 452 dt 17th Sept 1897 –

Reports on Petitions
from Chikfurrun
Reddy of Hadi village
in the Bangalore Taluk, re-
garding certain Survey
Nos in the said village
applied for by him –

Petition M
28/1/98

Refer to Petr for

AK
31/1/98

The ground urged on
the part of Petr in application
to the Amildar does not appear
valid

Rule XX which recognises
priority in applications (to)
made under Sec 58 of the Rent
& applies only to applications
where an amildar is authorised
under Sec 58 to dispose of
Crops. Here applies for under
Rule XXVIII (Revised) under Sec 112 of
the L Revt & this rule only
mentions by course as the
Officer authorised to receive
such applications. Therefore
he cannot dispose of the
(of the Board of Revenue). which do
mentions the Divisional Officer
who should be communicated
with & whose sanction should be
obtained " (Mr Greenbalch's Pl C)
In this case C-Petr applied to the
Deputy Commr 2 days after Petr applied
to the Taluk

= The extent & assessment of Sns 58,
59 & 163 are

The village maps in the record	S no	area a-g	Assessment Rs
	58	3-17	6-11-11
	59	1-12	4-11-11
	163	1-26	9-11-11

D. No. 973

Sur. File

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 15th January 1895.

To

The Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Department.

Sir,

In reply to your official memorandum No. Rev. 432 of the 17th September 1894 forwarding for report a petition from one Chikkanarasiah of Kadi village in the Bangalore Taluk complaining that survey Nos. 58, 59 and 103 of the said village were given to one Hanumma Reddi though (the petitioner) was the first applicant, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. Hanumma Reddi applied for survey Nos. 58 and 59 to be given to him on Kandayam for planting topes. He also applied for survey No. 103 to be granted to him free of assessment for planting a

of the case are submitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

V. K. Venkatarangiah

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

mcs

(4) 1898

From

The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department

To

The Deputy Commr
Bangalore Dt.

Dated 12-6

Despatched 12-6 Recd 13-6-98

Received

General No. 8498-P
P. No. 1129

Endorsement No.

The enquiry letter
No. 973 dated 6th January 98
regarding the petition of
Chikka Gurava Reddy & Others
relating to the Bangalore Ki.

Returning the papers
received with the above, for
reference and resubmission
has the honor to request the
Dy Comr to be good enough to
state what his opinion
is regarding the prayer
of S. No. 29 and report to;
and who he has any
recommendation to send
in the matter, whether the
complainant has begun
to plough any bye; and
whether

+ పై నం. వారికి
తెలియజేయడమైనది
12-3-98

in the keep the matter of the
right to the hire and the
value thereof were considered
at the time of the grant.

By order

for Secretary

E
10/3/28

(5)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 7582
Pet 576
1897-98
LEV

From

The Dy. Commissioner, of the
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl & Rev Department

Dated 16th April 1898
Despatched 18th April 1898
Received

General No.
No. 1367

Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject Petn of Sri Ramaiah & 5495
Pet 1129
dated 12th March 1898.

Submits further information on the petition of Sri Ramaiah Reddi of Hudi village Bangalore Taluk complaining that certain lands applied for by him for planting a tope were given away to one Hanumanthaiah

Draft
Typed 19/4/98

Draw the Dy Comr's attention to this office docket No 5495 dt 12/3/1898 Pet No 1129 and state whether he has any objection to the recommendation for free grant as sought for in the petition and if so what his reasons are in the matter

19/4/98

Pet N
19.4.98 Rep. put up
AK 20/4/98 21/4/98

D. No. 1367.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore the 16th April 1898.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Genl & Rev Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 5495.
Pet. 1129
of the 12th March 1898, calling for further information on the petition of Chik Gowda Reddi of Hudi village in the Bangalore Taluk, complaining that certain lands applied for by him for planting a tope were given away to one Hamma Reddi, I have the honor to state that Hamma Reddi has not as yet begun to plant any tope in the lands assigned to him. The value of the trees in Survey No 59 is estimated to be one Rupee, and the same has to be recovered from Hamma Reddi.

2 The records received with the reference under reply are returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Asst Commissioner in Dy. Charge
for Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Encls

(6) 1898

From

The Secretary to Govt
Genl and Rev Depts

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dt

Dated 12-2

Despatched 12-2 Feby 98

Received

General No. 1775 P
P. No. 1383

Enclosure No.

The Deputy Commissioner's
letter No. 136 dated 16th April 98
submitting further information
on the petn of Chikquruva
Reddi of Hudi village in
the Bangalore Dt,

~~With reference to the above~~
~~has the honour to invite~~
~~the attention of the Board~~
~~to his office docket No.~~
8495 dated 12-3-98 and to
P. 1129
request that he would
be good enough to state
when the Hon'ble Provisions of the Rev Proc
when he would submit
the recommendation called
for

for regarding the ~~fees~~ fresh assessment
grounds of S. 100 of ~~referred to~~
and under what provisions
of ~~the Fee~~ Rules and what
his views are in the matter

2. The papers received ~~+~~ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದೆ
with the above and the 13-5-98
letter we are herewith re-
turned for needful refe-
rence and resubmission

By order

for Secretary

10/5/98

36

Subd

7

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3412
MAY 19 1898
Regd No 6.3.98
DEWAN'S OFFICE

7-98

Mr
24.5.98

From
The Dy. Commissioner, of the
Bangalore District.

Subd of Rev Commissr E&S
with Pre-note

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl & Rev Departments

Dated 17th May 1898
Despatched 18th "
Received

General No.
D No. 1538
Enclosure No. File
Secys docket No 1070
Per. 1383
of the 12th May 1898.

Subd 1st Cr
24/9/98

9728

Fix a day & issue
Spl notice
3/9/98

cc

24/9/98

Posted 19th September 98.

States, with reference
to the petition of Chikka
Eramma Reddi & two others
Bangalore District, that,
in the Dy Comrs opinion
Survey Nos 54, 55 & 103
should have been sold
by Public auction
to the highest bidder.

Refs petition &
20/5/98

19.5.98

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 17th May 1898.

D. No. 1538
Sa: file

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
Genl & Rev Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your circt No 10705-
Pet 1383
of the 12th May 1895, I beg to state that
I do not know under what rule
the late Deputy-Commissioner granted
land No 59 free of assessment. As
my view is asked about the grant
of that land, and lands Nos 58 and
103, to Hanumareddy, I beg to submit
that if I were disposing of the ap-
-plication therefor, I would have
sold them in public auction to the
highest bidder.

2. The Inam Register of the case
is herewith returned for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Mrs

(9)

Proceedings of the Court of Mysore

Official No 581 of 97-98

Chikkiahgowda Reddi ... Appt
Reddi

Vs

Ramanna Reddi ... Respt
Reddi

Read:-

Petition of appeal No 581 as 81 of 97-98 presented by Chikkiahgowda Reddi of Attur, Bangalore Taluk, against the order of the Deputy Commr, Bangalore District, granting S. Nos 58, 59 & 103 of the above village, to the Respt Ramanareddi for planting topes, S. No 59 to be held revenue-free and the rest on the usual terms.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No 1533 of 17-5-98 from the Dy Comm Bangalore Dist

(3) The records of the case from which the facts are as follows:-

On 4-5-97 the appellant applied to the Commr of Bangalore Taluk for the grant of S. Nos 58, 59 & 103 of the above village for the purpose of planting charitable topes. This application was referred

Draft for approval
Subd by Dy Commr
Dy 23/2/98
10711

23. 2nd

Prepare K. Ry Cert
Dy 24/2/98

S No	Extent	Assessment
	A-g.	R-a-p.
58 Dry	- 3 - 17	- 6 - 3
59 - -	- 1 - 12	- 4 - 8
103 Gardens	- 1 - 20	- 9 - 0

to the Sheltons for repurchase.
On 6-5-97 the respondent also
applied to the Deputy Commissioner
in his campaign petition for the same
grant of the
~~Grant of the estate~~ ~~for planting~~
~~for planting estate~~
~~Lots~~ and ~~for the grant of~~
~~full assessment of~~ Nos
on full assessment
538 & 539 ~~for planting lots~~
This application was referred
to the Surveyor ~~for report~~
who ~~recommended~~ reported that
Nos 103 being a garden
land, should not be
granted revenue-free
but that Nos 539 should be
dug. might be so granted
instead and that Nos 538
and 103 might be granted
on full assessment, the former on account of the
in accordance with
the above recommendations
~~were approved by the~~
~~Deputy Commissioner~~. The
in his petition that
appellant ~~in appeal~~
against the above order,
contends that the
lands should have

been granted to him
~~by~~ at the 1st class Khasdar

5997-6004.

(687)

Order No. 4271
dt. 28/2/99

The provisions of Rule XX of the Mysore Land Revenue Rules must be given effect to, and the lands sold by public auction, ~~on their own motion and~~ ~~applications for the same~~

It is pointed out to the Commissioner that he had no power to make the free grant of A No 59 to the Respondent

Exempt
C & C

for Secretary
28/2/99

To Mr. Commissioner Bangalore
Dy. with the records

~~Dy Commissioner~~

Grant
28.2.99

Rec'd by
Instr
28-2-99

28-2-99

Commissioner has no authority to grant a patent without sanction of Govt. Commissioner competent to make such a grant in the first case as stated above

1898-99

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th February 1899.

No. 5997-6004 / P. F. 61-98

Passing orders on the appeal of Chikkaguruvareddi of Hudi, Bangalore taluk, *re* three S. Nos. applied for by him, and directing their sale by public auction, there being two darkhasts for them.

MGBPB—9-3-99—200

Appeal No. 81 of 1897-98.

Appellant.		*Respondent.*
Chikkaguruvareddi.	*versus*	Hanumareddi.

Petition of appeal No. 581—A. S. 81 of 1897-98, presented by Chikkaguruvareddi of Hesarghatta, Bangalore taluk, against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore district, granting S. Nos. 58, 59 and 103, of the above village to the respondent Hanumareddi, for planting topes, S. No. 59 to be held revenue free, and the rest on the usual terms.

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1538, dated 17th May 1898, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore district.

The records of the case from which the facts are as follows :—

Survey No.	Extent. A. g.	Assessment. Rs. a. p.
58 dry ...	3 17	6 8 0
59 dry ...	1 19	4 8 0
103 garden ...	1 20	9 0 0

On 4th May 1897 the appellant applied to the Amildar of Bangalore taluk for the grant free of assessment of S. Nos. 58, 59 and 103 of the above village, for the purpose of planting charitable topes.

This application was referred to the shekdar for report.

On 6th May 1897 the respondent also applied to the Deputy Commissioner in his camp at Varthur for grant of the same numbers for planting topes, No. 103 free of assessment and Nos. 58 and 59 on full assessment. This application was referred to the Amildar, who reported that No. 103 being a garden land, should not be granted revenue free but that No. 59 which was dry might be so granted instead, and that Nos. 58 and 103 might be granted on full assessment. The Deputy Commissioner passed an order in favor of respondent in accordance with the above recommendation. The appellant in his petition to Government contends that the lands should have been granted to him as the first darkhastdar.

No. 5997-6004—P. F. 61-98, dated Bangalore, 27th February 1899.

Order thereon.—The Deputy Commissioner had no authority to grant S. No. 59 free of assessment without reference to Government. It is, moreover, inexpedient to make such a grant in the present case. As there are two darkhasts for the three lands specified above, the provisions of Rule XX of the Mysore Land Revenue Rules must be given effect to, and the land sold by public auction. The Deputy Commissioner will dispose of the matter accordingly as speedily as possible.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Rev. & Ten. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with records.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

Exd.—H.A.R.

(8)

28-2-99